Exhibit 34

United States of America ex rel. Ven-a-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories,
Inc., et al.,
Civil Action No. 01-12257-PBS

Exhibit to the July 24, 2009, Declaration of George B. Henderson, II
In Support of United States' Common Memorandum of Law in Support of Cross-Motions for Partial Summary Judgment and in Opposition to the Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment

September 27, 2007

Baltimore, MD

329

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

----X

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL : MDL NO. 1456

INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE : CIVIL ACTION

PRICE LITIGATION : 01-CV-12257-PBS

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO :

U.S. ex rel. Ven-A-Care of : Judge Patti B.

The Florida Keys, Inc., : Saris

Plaintiff, :

VS.

ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC., : Chief Magistrate

No. 06-CV-11337-PBS : Judge Marianne B.

Defendants. : Bowler

----X

VOLUME II

Baltimore, Maryland

Thursday, September 27, 2007

Continued Videotape Deposition of:

LARRY REED,

the witness, was called for examination by counsel for the Defendants, pursuant to notice, commencing

Henderson Legal Services 202-220-4158

Baltimore, MD

351

- this with counsel, and we're not going to argue
- about it here today, but I understand they're
- 3 still going to instruct the witness not to answer
- any questions about that testimony, and then you
- ⁵ will review the issue and then have an answer for
- 6 us next time we come back.
- MS. MARTINEZ: Yes, we're going to
- 8 assert the investigatory files law enforcement
- ⁹ privilege.
- MR. TORBORG: Okay. Thank you.
- Secondly, the second housekeeping
- matter I wanted to talk about with counsel was in
- our meet and confers, we had discussed searching
- Mr. Reed's e-mails for certain terms, and I had
- 15 sent you a list of those terms that we had asked
- 16 for you to review.
- And I don't know if that's been done,
- if a search of Mr. Reed's e-mails have been done
- with those terms or any other terms, so I'd like
- to get an understanding of if there will be more
- documents from Mr. Reed being produced and if
- those searches will be done.

21

22

Baltimore, MD

352 1 MS. MARTINEZ: We're working on that, 2 and we'll be happy to discuss that with you more 3 off the record. 4 MR. TORBORG: Okay. So as we sit here 5 today, taking the second day of Mr. Reed's 6 deposition, there are still potential documents 7 out there from his files, correct? MS. MARTINEZ: Potentially. I mean, 9 some of these may have already been produced, but 10 we are working on making sure that anything in 11 the electronic documents is produced. 12 MR. TORBORG: Okay. Thank you. 13 BY MR. TORBORG: 14 Mr. Reed, yesterday we talked a bit Q. 15 about the confidentiality provisions of the AMP 16 data contained in the OBRA legislation of 1990. 17 Do you recall that discussion? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And I stated yesterday, I 20 believe you agreed with me, that the legislation

Henderson Legal Services 202-220-4158

of that information to CMS, the states, and

itself limited the information -- the disclosure

Baltimore, MD

353 1 certain contractors; is that right? 2 Α. Contractors for the states, that's 3 correct. Ο. Correct. And after a consultation that 5 CMS had with representatives of the states, some 6 manufacturers and some pharmacy provider advocacy 7 groups, a decision was made that the AMP information would not be shared with the states. 9 Is that a fair summary of your 10 testimony? 11 Yeah, that's correct. Α. 12 Okay. And did you have an 13 understanding of why it was that the information 14 was made confidential in the legislation to begin 15 with? 16 You were involved in drafting that 17 legislation, correct? 18 A. I was involved in providing comments 19 back to managers within CMS on that legislation, 20 correct. 21 0. Okay. Do you have an understanding of 22 why it is that the information was to be kept

22

Baltimore, MD

354 1 confidential under the statute? 2 Α. I don't remember at that time having a 3 discussion of the confidentiality provision specifically of the statute, if that's your 5 question. Ο. Yes. 7 I don't remember any particular 8 discussion of that part of the Act during that 9 time period. 10 And I believe I asked you this 0. 11 yesterday and you were instructed not to answer, 12 but do you recall why it was that CMS determined 13 that the AMP information should not be shared 14 with the states? Do you recall the reasoning 15 behind that? 16 Α. Of not sharing the AMP information with 17 the states? 18 Correct. 0. 19 MS. MARTINEZ: You can answer to the 20 extent that it would not disclose the internal 21 deliberations of CMS related to that decision.

Henderson Legal Services 202-220-4158

Okay.

THE WITNESS:

The information